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## Motivating Example: Data Visualization

53 blood and urine samples (features) from 65 people

- Matrix format (65x53)

|  |  | H-WBC | H-RBC | H-Hgb | H-Hct | H-MCV | H-MCH | H-MCHC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 | 8.0000 | 4.8200 | 14.1000 | 41.0000 | 85.0000 | 29.0000 | 34.0000 |
|  | A2 | 7.3000 | 5.0200 | 14.7000 | 43.0000 | 86.0000 | 29.0000 | 34.0000 |
|  | A3 | 4.3000 | 4.4800 | 14.1000 | 41.0000 | 91.0000 | 32.0000 | 35.0000 |
|  | A4 | 7.5000 | 4.4700 | 14.9000 | 45.0000 | 101.0000 | 33.0000 | 33.0000 |
|  | A5 | 7.3000 | 5.5200 | 15.4000 | 46.0000 | 84.0000 | 28.0000 | 33.0000 |
|  | A6 | 6.9000 | 4.8600 | 16.0000 | 47.0000 | 97.0000 | 33.0000 | 34.0000 |
|  | A7 | 7.8000 | 4.6800 | 14.7000 | 43.0000 | 92.0000 | 31.0000 | 34.0000 |
|  | A8 | 8.6000 | 4.8200 | 15.8000 | 42.0000 | 88.0000 | 33.0000 | 37.0000 |
|  | A9 | 5.1000 | 4.7100 | 14.0000 | 43.0000 | 92.0000 | 30.0000 | 32.0000 |

Features
Difficult to see the correlations of different features

## Motivating Example: Data Visualization

Is there a representation better than the coordinate axes?

Is it really necessary to show all the 53 dimensions?

- ... what if there are strong correlations between the features?

How could we find
the smallest subspace of the 53-D space that keeps the most information about the original data?

## A Solution: Dimension Reduction

## Another Example: Dimension Reduction for Text



What are the relations between data points?



## Bag-of-Words Representations



## Term-Document Data Matrix - Bag-of-words

|  | database | SQL | index | regression | likelihood | linear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d1 | 24 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| d2 | 32 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| d3 | 12 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d4 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d5 | 43 | 31 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| d6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 16 |
| d7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 12 | 0 |
| d8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 2 |
| d9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 27 | 25 |
| d10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 23 |

.-. Many more features

## Solution: <br> Dimension <br> Reduction

## What is Dimension Reduction?

- The process of reducing the number of random variables under consideration
- One can combine, transform or select variables
- One can use linear or nonlinear operations

vector in $R^{d}$


## Applications of Dimension Reduction

- The dimension-reduced data can be used for
- Visualizing, exploring and understanding the data
- Aggregating weak signals in the data
- Cleaning the data
- Speeding up subsequent learning task
- Building simpler model later
- Key questions of a dimensionality reduction algorithm
- What is the criterion for carrying out the reduction process?
- What are the algorithm steps?
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## Mahdi's example

Pixel in 2D


Voxel in 3D



Segmented Voids



## Your plan

$\sigma$ O

Reality



$\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}h & e\end{array}\right] \stackrel{?}{z_{1}} \quad z_{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h & e
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
z_{1} & z_{2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& z_{1}=x^{\{1\}} \cdot w_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h & e
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{11} \\
w_{21}
\end{array}\right]=h w_{11}+e w_{21} \\
& z_{2}=x^{\{13} \cdot w_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h & e
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{12} \\
w_{22}
\end{array}\right]=h w_{12}+e w_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(x) & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
\operatorname{Max} \operatorname{Var}(z) & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(z_{i}-\Gamma_{z}^{\mu}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i} w-\mu_{w} w\right)^{2} \quad\|w\|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

weisht



## PCA: Dimension Reduction by Capturing Variation

- There are many criteria (geometric based, information theory based, etc.)
- One criterion: want to capture variation in data
- variations are "signals" or information in the data
- need to normalize each variables first
- In the process, also discover variables or dimensions highly correlated
- represent highly related phenomena
- combine them to form a stronger signal
- lead to simpler presentation


## Capturing Variation in Data



## Two Equivalent Perspectives of PCA

## PCA:



Orthogonal projection of the data onto a lower-dimension linear space that...
Dmaximizes variance of projected data (purple line)
Dminimizes mean squared distance between

- data point and
- projections (sum of blue lines)
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What is variance equation?

$$
\operatorname{Var}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}
$$

## Formulating the Problem

- Given $n$ data points, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in R^{d}$ with their mean $\mu=$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

- Find a direction $w \in R^{d}$ where

$$
\|w\|=\sqrt{\sum_{j \in d} \omega_{j}^{2}}=1
$$

We constrain the norm of $w$ to be equal to one to avoid having very large variance in each new dimension.

- Given $n$ data points, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in R^{d}$ with their mean $\mu$

$$
\|w\|=\sqrt{\sum_{j \in d} \omega_{j}^{2}}=1 \quad \quad \mu=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

- Such that the variance (or variation) of the data along direction $w$ is maximized

$$
\max _{\| w| |=1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} w-\mu w\right)^{2}
$$

variance in new feature space

## An Optimization Problem

- Manipulate the objective with linear algebra

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} w-\mu w\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) w\right)^{2}= \\
=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)}{A} \frac{w}{B}\right)^{T}\left(\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) w\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w^{T}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{T}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right) w \\
(A B)^{T}=B^{T} A^{T} \\
w^{T}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{T}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)\right) w=w^{T} C w
\end{gathered}
$$

Covariance matrix

## Equivalence to The Eigenvalue Problem

- Claim:

$$
\max _{\|w\|=1} w^{T} C w
$$

- Form lagrangian function of the optimization problem

$$
L(w, \lambda)=w^{\top} C w+\lambda\left(1-w^{t} w\right)
$$

- If $w$ is a maximum of the original optimization problem, then there exists a $\lambda$, where $(w, \lambda)$ is a stationary point of $L(w, \lambda)$
- This implies that

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial w}=0=2 C w-2 \lambda w
$$



## Eigen-Value Problem

- Eigen-value problem
$d$ : dimension
- Given a symmetric matrix $C \in R^{d \times d}$

C is also a positive semidefinite matrix

- Find a vector $w \in R^{d}$ and $\|w\|=1$
- Such that

$$
C w=\lambda w
$$

- There will be multiple solution of $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{d}$ for its corresponding $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}$
- They are ortho-normal: $w_{i}^{T} w_{i}=1 \quad w_{i}^{T} w_{j}=0$


## Principal Direction of the Data



## Variance in the Principal Direction

- Principal direction $w$ satisfies

$$
C w=\lambda w=w \lambda
$$

- Variance in principal direction is



## Multiple Principal Directions

- Directions $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots$ which has
- the largest variances
- but are orthogonal to each other
- Take the eigenvectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots$ of $C$ corresponding to
- the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$,
- the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$


## Extra Principal Directions



## Relations Between Principal Components

Principal component \#1: points in the direction of the largest variance.

Each subsequent principal component

- is orthogonal to the previous ones, and
- points in the directions of the largest variance of the residual subspace


## The PCA Algorithm

- Given $n$ data points, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in R^{d}$ with mean
- Step 1: Estimate the mean and covariance matrix from data

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{T}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)
$$

Principal directions

- Step 2: Take the eigenvectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots$ of $C$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$, the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{2} \ldots$
- Step 3: Compute reduced representation

$$
z_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}} w_{1} & \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{2}\right)}{\sigma_{2}} w_{2} & \ldots
\end{array}\right) \quad z \Rightarrow \mathrm{n} \times k
$$
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## Singular Value Decomposition

n : instances<br>$X_{n \times d} \quad$ d: dimensions X is a centered matrix

$$
U_{n \times n} \rightarrow \text { unitary matrix } \rightarrow U \times U^{T}=I
$$

$$
X=U \Sigma V^{T} \quad \Sigma_{n \times d} \rightarrow \text { diagonal matrix }
$$



According to PCA $\rightarrow C w=\lambda w=w \lambda$

## Centering X

Covariance $C_{d \times d}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x^{i}-\mu\right)^{T}\left(x^{i}-\mu\right)=\frac{X^{T} X}{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=U \Sigma V^{T} \\
& C=\frac{X^{T} X}{n}
\end{aligned} \quad\left[C=\frac{V \Sigma^{T} U^{T} U \Sigma V^{T}}{n}=\frac{V \Sigma^{2} V^{T}}{n}\right.
$$

$$
C=\frac{V \Sigma^{2} V^{T}}{n}=V \frac{\Sigma^{2}}{n} V^{T}
$$


$V$ is the eigen vectors of covariance (Principal directions)
$\lambda_{i}=\frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{n} \rightarrow$ The eigenvalues of covariance matrix

Let's project the data $(\mathrm{X})$ on principal directions:
$X \omega \quad \backsim X V=U \Sigma V^{T} V=U \Sigma$
$\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{V}$ is independent linear combinations of the original data
Projection of one instance $(x)$ on the first principal direction using k dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}_{1}=\left[u_{1 \times 1} \Sigma_{1 \times 1}, u_{1 \times 2} \Sigma_{2 \times 2}, \ldots, u_{1 \times k} \Sigma_{k \times k}\right] \\
& \mathrm{p}_{2}=\left[u_{2 \times 1} \Sigma_{1 \times 1}, u_{2 \times 2} \Sigma_{2 \times 2}, \ldots, u_{2 \times k} \Sigma_{k \times k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
U \Rightarrow n \times k \\
\sum \Rightarrow k \times k \\
\text { Upper left corner }
\end{gathered}
$$



Principal components (Scores) or projections on principal directions

In fact, using the SVD to perform PCA makes much better sense numerically than forming the covariance matrix to begin with, since the formation of $X^{T} X$ can cause loss of precision.

## Are Principal Components Good for Classification?



## Why PCA potentially works in classification?

the dimension with the largest variance corresponds to the dimension with the largest entropy and thus encodes the most information (Information Theory). The smallest eigenvectors will often simply represent noise components, whereas the largest eigenvectors often correspond to the principal components that define the data.
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## Summary

- PCA
- Finds orthonormal basis for data
- Sorts dimensions in order of "importance"
- Discard low significance dimensions
- Uses

。Get concise low-dimensional representations

- Remove noise
- Not magic
- Doesn't know class labels
- Can only capture linear variations

I Image compression using PCA
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PCs \# 20


PCs \# 50


